

# **EXHIBIT 19**

06/09/04 WED 14:55 FAX 5409665242

PEPSI BOTTLING GRP

0005

Page 1 of 21

## Claim Notes

**viaOne**  
Details

Claim  
Workers Compensation  
ICD History  
Managed Care  
Time Tracking  
Work Status  
Financial  
Overview  
Payment History  
Reserves  
Documentation  
Notes  
Diary  
Employee  
Employee Detail  
Options  
Address Book  
Alternate Numbers  
Client Service Instructions  
Index System History  
Index System Reports  
Legal  
Miscellaneous  
Related Claims  
Status History  
Recoveries/Offsets  
Summary  
Plan Summary  
Other  
Print View  
Sign Off

Home Claim Search Query Menu Requests Downloads Contact Us Hel

Claim#: A364618584-0001-01 Claimant Name: MARLAYNA G TILLMAN  
Loss Date: 11/08/2003 Type: WC - WC  
Examiner: Christine Miller Examiner Office: 332 - Pittsburgh, PA  
Vendor ID: D00 Processing Office: 646 - Dallas, TX

Notes

Notes Selection Criteria

View Level: Claim ☒ Sort Order: Descending ☒

Filter for: All ☒

Created	By	For	Type	Text
				Sent email to karen davis, russ hall and lori rene
06/04/2004	cmiller	cmiller	EX	<p>Karen, I have spoken to defense counsel Christine O'C "aggravation of prior injury" per employee that to 06/1/04. Defense counsel and I agree that survey necessary on this employee. Pls provide author need a physical description as well in order to a as possible. Denial has been issued on the 6/1/ but we are both confident this will go to a Hearin like as much ammunition as possible.</p> <p>Christine A. Miller Claims Examiner III PH# 412-566-3136 FAX# 412-566-3150</p> <p>Board Rule 4 Notice sent to IW:</p> <p>June 3, 2004</p> <p>Marlayna Tillman P.O. Box 688 Claymont, DE 19703</p>

06/03/2004 cmiller cmiller EX

RE: Employee: Marlayna Tillman  
Employer: PEPSI BOTTLING GROUP, INC  
Date of Injury: 11.08.03  
Claim Number: A364618584

## BOARD RULE 4 NOTICE

Dear Ms. Tillman:

Sedgwick Claims Management Services adminl  
Compensation claims on behalf of The Pepsi Bo

We are denying the period of disability as of Jun  
recurrence of the November 6, 2004 incident as  
Delaware Law requires that you be notified that

<https://viaone.sedgwickcms.net/scripts/cgiip.exe/WService=wjuriweb/notes-cgi?Context-ID=zo 6/9/04>

PBG 01323

06/09/04 WED 14:55 FAX 5408685242

PEPSI BOTTLING GRP

0008

Claim Notes

Page 2 of 21

limitations for workers' compensation claims is that your claim has been accepted as compensable made to you or on your behalf, the statute of limitations years from the date of last payment.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at 1-866-3136.

Sincerely,  
Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc.

Christine Miller  
Claims Exam III

cc: Dept. of Labor  
Pepsi Bottling Group  
Beverly Bove

06/03/2004 cmiller cmiller LG

Christine O'Connor in depositions, spoke with p Casey re new circumstances with employee re claim for re-aggravation of prior injury from 11/03. Two separate issues exist, we still need to issue the closed period that we agreed to accept. As of recurrence on 06/1/04 we will be issuing a Rule as being related. We have a DME indicating she returning to position back in Feb 2004. No add'l necessary. We do not want to voluntarily accept aggravation. IW has worked full duty since 4/18 since it is Pepsi's policy not to accommodate retrained denied claims he would suggest Pepsi not offer work to IW.

Left message for Tabatha to pls contact me as

Called Tabatha, employee called Jobhurt 8/1/04 has aggravated the same injury from 11/8/03. A employee is upset since she posted for a line dr employee with more seniority bumped her from t remains in the filler room. She stands on her fee majority of her shift. She realized in the morning was bumped from the position she wanted and she rpt'd this incident. Has returned to Banderas has placed her on TD which was effective 6/2/04 L/M for Christine O'Connor D/C since this was a should Pepsi allow her to work TD. Since DME 4/8/04 indicating she was capable of full duty wh our position in this matter?

Email rec'd from Tabatha/safety manager at facili

06/03/2004 cmiller cmiller CN

Marylana is stating that she re-aggravated her in tendon in her calf. Was this claim accepted or d previously. I know it was talked about but I don't results. If that one was denied, wouldn't this one I know she had surgery to correct the injury, is it to re-aggravate it?

06/03/2004 cmiller cmiller C1

Let me know  
Thanks  
Tabatha

<https://viaone.sedgwickcms.net/scripts/cgiip.exe/WSservice=wsjurisweb/notes.cgi?Context-ID=zo 6/9/04>

PBG 01324